arXiv:1110.5543v1 [math.RA] 25 Oct 2011

Heisenberg Double over Regular Multiplier Hopf Algebras

Tao Yang [*] , **Xuan Zhou** [†] , **Juzhen Chen** [‡]

October 26, 2011

Abstract Based on a pairing of two regular multiplier Hopf algebras A and B, we introduce a (generalized) Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H} = A\#B$. Let $\mathscr{D} = A \bowtie B$ be the Drinfel'd double, then Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H}$ is a Yetter-Drinfel'd $\mathscr{D}$-module algebra. Moreover, Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H}$ is braided commutative by the braiding of Yetter-Drinfel'd module.

Key words Multiplier Hopf Algebra, Drinfel'd Double, Heisenberg Double, Yetter-Drinfel'd Module.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W30 · 17B37

1 Introduction

For a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H, there is a Yetter-Drinfel'd $\mathcal{D}(H)$-module algebra structure on the Heisenberg double $\mathcal{H}(H^*)$ endowed with a heterotic action of the Drinfel'd double $\mathcal{D}(H)$, moreover $\mathcal{H}(H^*)$ is braided commutative in terms of the braiding of Yetter-Drinfel'd module (see [6]).

One main question naturally arise: if this result also holds for some infinite dimensional Hopf algebras? For this, we introduce the generalized Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H}$, and using the multiplier Hopf algebra theory to deal with a more general case.

Multiplier Hopf algebra, introduced by A. Van Daele [7], play a very important role in duality of a class of infinite dimensional Hopf algebras. Its motivating example is $K(G)$ of complex functions with finite support in G. Multiplier Hopf algebra can be considered as a generalization of Hopf algebra. Many of the results involving the duality for

---

[*] Corresponding author. Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, Jiangsu, CHINA. E-mail: tao.yang.seu@gmail.com

[†] Department of Mathematics, Jiangsu Institute of Education, Nanjing 210013, Jiangsu, CHINA. E-mail: zhouxuanseu@126.com

[‡] College of Science, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, Jiangsu, CHINA. E-mail: juzhenchen@sohu.com

finite dimensional Hopf algebras, have naturally generalizations to regular multiplier Hopf algebras (with integrals), such as Drinfel'd double (see [3, 10]), Yetter-Drinfel'd module (see [2, 11]). It is worth mentioning that Yetter-Drinfel'd modules over a multiplier Hopf algebra(defined in [11]), are not algebras but only vector spaces satisfying some certain conditions, generalizing the former definition.

In this paper, we use the Drinfel'd double $\mathscr{D}$ (defined on a pairing of regular multiplier Hopf algebras) and Yetter-Drinfel'd module (defined in [11]) to shown that the answer of question above is yes. Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H}$ is a (left-left) $\mathscr{D}$-Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra, and braided commutative.

The paper is organized in the following way. In section 2, we recall some notions which we will use in the following, such as multiplier Hopf algebra, Drinfel'd double and Yetter-Drinfel'd modules.

In section 3, we first introduce a generalized Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H}$ based on a pairing of regular multiplier Hopf algebras, then define an action and a coaction of $\mathscr{D}$ on $\mathscr{H}$, which makes $\mathscr{H}$ a (left-left) $\mathscr{D}$-Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra (see Theorem 3.6).

In section 4, the Heisenberg doube $\mathscr{H}$ definied in section 2 is a braided $\mathscr{D}$-commutative algebra. And $\mathscr{H}$ is the braided product $\mathscr{H} = A \propto B$, where A and B are braided commutative Yetter-Drinfel'd $\mathscr{D}$-module algebras by restriction.

In section 5, we apply the results as above to the usual Hopf algebras and derive some interesting results, including the main results in paper [6] as a corollary.

2 Preliminaries

We begin this section with a short introduction to multiplier Hopf algebras.

Throughout this paper, all spaces we considered are over a fixed field K (such as field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers). Algebras may or may not have units, but always should be non-degenerate, i.e., the multiplication map (viewed as bilinear forms) are non-degenerate. For an algebra A, the multiplier algebra $M(A)$ of A is defined as the largest algebra with unit in which A is a dense ideal.

Now, we recall the definitions of a multiplier Hopf algebra (see [7] for details). A comultiplication on algebra A is a homomorphism $\Delta : A \longrightarrow M(A \otimes A)$ such that $\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)$ and $(a \otimes 1)\Delta(b)$ belong to $A \otimes A$ for all $a, b \in A$. We require Δ to be coassociative in the sense that

$$(a \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes \iota)(\Delta(b)(1 \otimes c)) = (\iota \otimes \Delta)((a \otimes 1)\Delta(b))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes c)$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$ (where ι denotes the identity map).

A pair (A, Δ) of an algebra A with non-degenerate product and a comultiplication Δ on A is called a multiplier Hopf algebra, if the linear map T_1, T_2 defined by $T_1(a \otimes b) = \Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)$, $T_2(a \otimes b) = (a \otimes 1)\Delta(b)$ are bijective.

A multiplier Hopf algebra (A, Δ) is called regular if (A, Δ^{cop}) is also a multiplier Hopf algebra, where Δ^{cop} denotes the co-opposite comultiplication defined as $\Delta^{cop} = \tau \circ \Delta$ with τ the usual flip map from $A \otimes A$ to itself (and extended to $M(A \otimes A)$). In this case, $\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1), (1 \otimes a)\Delta(b) \in A \otimes A$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Multiplier Hopf algebra (A, Δ) is called regular if and only if the antipode S is bijective from A to A (see [8], Proposition 2.9).

We will use the adapted Sweedler notation for regular multiplier Hopf algebras (see [9]). We will e.g., write $\sum a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} b$ for $\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)$ and $\sum ab_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)}$ for $(a \otimes 1)\Delta(b)$, sometimes we omit the $\sum$.

2.1 Pairing and Drinfel'd double

Start with two regular multilier Hopf algebras (A, Δ) and (B, Δ) together with a non-degenerate bilinear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ from $A \times B$ to K. This bilinear map is called a pairing if certain conditions are fulfilled. The main property is that the product in A is dual to the coproduct in B and vice versa. There are however certain regularity conditions, needed to give a correct meaning of this statement (investigation of these conditions is done in [5]).

For $a \in A, b \in B$, then we can define $a \blacktriangleright b$, $b \blacktriangleright a$, $a \blacktriangleleft b$ and $b \blacktriangleleft a$ in the following way. Take $a' \in A$ and $b' \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} (b \blacktriangleright a)a' &= \langle a_{(2)}, b \rangle a_{(1)} a', \qquad & (a \blacktriangleright b)b' &= \langle a, b_{(2)} \rangle b_{(1)} b', \\ (a \blacktriangleleft b)a' &= \langle a_{(1)}, b \rangle a_{(2)} a', \qquad & (b \blacktriangleleft a)b' &= \langle a, b_{(1)} \rangle b_{(2)} b'. \end{aligned}$$

The regularity conditions on the dual paring say that the multipliers $b \blacktriangleright a$ and $a \blacktriangleleft b$ in $M(A)$ (resp. $a \blacktriangleright b$ and $b \blacktriangleleft a$ in M(B)) actually belong to A (resp. B).

A paring of two regular multiplier Hopf algebras is a natural setting for the construction of Drinfel'd double. It turns out that the conditions on the pairing $\langle A, B \rangle$ are sufficient to construct the Drinfel'd double on $A \otimes B$. The main point of the essential ideals is that there is an invertible twist map $T : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$, which defines an associative product on $A \otimes B$. For $a \in A$ and $b \in B$

$$T(b \otimes a) = b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(b_{(3)}) \otimes b_{(2)}. \tag{2.1}$$

This map can be considered as a special case in [10], and is bijective, and the inverse is given by

$$T^{-1}(a \otimes b) = b_{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(b_{(1)}) \blacktriangleright a \blacktriangleleft b_{(3)}.$$

As shown in [3], the structures of the Drinfel'd double is given as follows.

Let $\mathscr{D} = A \bowtie B$ denote the algebra with tensor product $A \otimes B$ as the underlying space, and with the product given by the twist map T as follows:

$$(a \bowtie b)(a' \bowtie b') = (m_A \otimes m_B)(\iota \otimes T \otimes \iota)(a \otimes b \otimes a' \otimes b')$$

with $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. If we write $T^{-1}(a' \otimes b') = \sum b'_i \otimes a'_i$, then we have

$$(a \bowtie b)(a' \bowtie b') = \sum (a \otimes 1) T(bb'_i \otimes a'_i). \tag{2.2}$$

Similarly, if we write $T^{-1}(a \otimes b) = \sum b_i \otimes a_i$, then we have

$$(a \bowtie b)(a' \bowtie b') = \sum T(b_i \otimes a_i a')(1 \otimes b'). \tag{2.3}$$

There are algebra embeddings $A \to M(\mathscr{D}) : a \to a \bowtie 1$ and $B \to M(\mathscr{D}) : b \to 1 \bowtie b$. These embeddings can be extended to the multiplier algebras.

The coproduct (or comultiplication), counit, and antipode are given as follows.

$$\Delta(a \bowtie b) = \Delta_A^{cop}(a)\Delta_B(b), \; \varepsilon(a \bowtie b) = \varepsilon_A(a)\varepsilon_B(b), \; S(a \otimes b) = T(S_B(b) \otimes S_A^{-1}(a)).$$

Without confusion, we always e.g. denote $S_A(a)$ just as $S(a)$.

Drinfel'd double $\mathscr{D}$ can be considered as a special case of twisted double defined in [10].

2.2 Yetter-Drinfel'd modules over a multiplier Hopf algebra

Recall of the definition of generalized (left-left) Yetter-Drinfel'd module over a multiplier Hopf algebra from [11].

Let $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ be a regular multiplier Hopf algebra and V a vector space. Then V is called a left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd module over A, if the following conditions hold:

(1) $(V, \cdot)$ is a left unital A-module, i.e., $A \cdot V = V$;

(2) (V, Γ) is a left A-comodule, where $\Gamma : V \to M_0(V \otimes A)$ denotes the left coaction of A on V, and $M_0(V \otimes A)$ denotes as the completed module (see [4, 9]);

(3) Γ and $\cdot$ satisfy the following condition:

$$(a_{(1)} \cdot v)_{(-1)} a_{(2)} a' \otimes (a_{(1)} \cdot v)_{(0)} = a_{(1)} v_{(-1)} a' \otimes a_{(2)} \cdot v_{(0)} \tag{2.4}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $v \in V$.

By the definition of left-left Yetter-Drinfel'd modules, we can define Yetter-Drinfel'd module categories ${}_A^A\mathcal{YD}$. The objects in ${}_A^A\mathcal{YD}$ are left-left Yetter-Drinfel'd modules, and the morphisms are linear maps which interwine with the left action and the left coaction of A on M, i.e., the morphisms between two objects are left A-linear and left A-colinear maps. Precisely, let $V, W \in {}_A^A\mathcal{YD}$ and $f : V \to W$ be a morphism, then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(a \cdot v) = a \cdot f(v), \\ & (a' \otimes 1)\Gamma_W \circ f(v) = (a' \otimes 1)(\iota \otimes f)\Gamma_V(v), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $v \in V$, where Γ_W (Γ_V) is the left coaction on W (V).

The other three kind of Yetter-Drinfel'd module categories are also defined in [11].

3 Heisenberg Double

In this section, we first introduce the Heisenberg double of two regular multiplier Hopf algebras with a pairing as shown in Section 2.1.

Definition 3.1 Let $\langle A, B\rangle$ be a pairing of two regular multiplier Hopf algebras A and B. The *Heisenberg double* $\mathscr{H}$ is the smash product $A\#B$ with respect to the left regular action $b \blacktriangleright a = \langle a_{(2)}, b\rangle a_{(1)}$ of B on A. The production in $\mathscr{H}$ is given by

$$(a\#b)(a'\#b') = a(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a')\#b_{(2)}b'. \tag{3.1}$$

for any $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

Remark (1) The equation (3.1) is well-defined, since $b_{(1)}\otimes b_{(2)}b' = \Delta(b)(1\otimes b') \in B\otimes B$.

(2) The product defined by (3.1) is a twisted tensor product (see [1]). The twisted map $R(b\otimes a') = b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a'\otimes b_{(2)}$ is bijective, the inverse is given by $R^{-1}(a\otimes b) = b_{(2)}\otimes S^{-1}(b_{(1)}) \blacktriangleright a$, so by the Proposition 1.1 in [1], this product is non-degenerate.

Example 3.2 Let G be any (discrete) group with unit e. Let $B = K[G]$ denote the group Hopf algebra, and let $A = K(G)$ denote the well-known multiplier Hopf algebra on G (see [7]). Then the Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H} = A\#B$ with the product

$$(\delta_p\#q)(\delta_{p'}\#q') = \delta_{p'q^{-1}}\#qq'.$$

Define a $\mathscr{D}$-action on $\mathscr{H}$ as

$$(a \bowtie b) \cdot (a'\#b') = a_{(3)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a')S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#(b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}). \tag{3.2}$$

for $a \bowtie b \in \mathscr{D}$ and $a'\#b' \in \mathscr{H}$.

We can easily check that (3.2) is well-defined, and get the following results.

Proposition 3.3 By the action defined above, $\mathscr{H}$ is a unital $\mathscr{D}$-module.

Proof First we check that $\mathscr{H}$ is a $\mathscr{D}$-module, i.e., to show that $((c \bowtie d)(a \bowtie b)) \cdot$

$(a'\#b') = (c \bowtie d)\cdot((a \bowtie b)\cdot(a'\#b'))$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (c \bowtie d)\cdot((a \bowtie b)\cdot(a'\#b')) \\
= \;& (c \bowtie d)\cdot\Bigl(a_{(3)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a')S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#(b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\Bigr) \\
= \;& c_{(3)}\Bigl(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright \bigl(a_{(3)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a')S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\bigr)\Bigr)S^{-1}(c_{(2)}) \\
& \#\Bigl(d_{(2)}\bigl((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\bigr)S^{-1}(d_{(3)})\Bigr) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \\
= \;& c_{(3)}(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a_{(3)})(d_{(2)}b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a')\underline{(d_{(3)} \blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a_{(2)}))}S^{-1}(c_{(2)}) \\
& \#\Bigl(\underline{d_{(4)}}\bigl((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\bigr)S^{-1}(d_{(5)})\Bigr) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \\
= \;& c_{(3)}(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a_{(3)})(d_{(2)}b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a')S^{-1}(a_{(2)(2)})S^{-1}(c_{(2)}) \\
& \#\Bigl((d_{(3)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(2)(1)}))\bigl((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\bigr)S^{-1}(d_{(4)})\Bigr) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \\
= \;& c_{(3)}(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a_{(4)})(d_{(2)}b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a')S^{-1}(a_{(3)})S^{-1}(c_{(2)}) \\
& \#\Bigl((d_{(3)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(2)}))\bigl((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\bigr)S^{-1}(d_{(4)})\Bigr) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(c_{(1)}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Bigl((c \bowtie d)(a \bowtie b)\Bigr)\cdot(a'\#b') \\
= \;& \Bigl(c(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(d_{(3)})) \bowtie d_{(2)}b\Bigr)\cdot(a'\#b') \\
= \;& \Bigl(c\bigl(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(d_{(3)})\bigr)\Bigr)_{(3)}\Bigl((d_{(2)}b)_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a'\Bigr)S^{-1}\Bigl(\bigl(c\bigl(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(d_{(3)})\bigr)\bigr)_{(2)}\Bigr) \\
& \#\Bigl((d_{(2)}b)_{(2)}b'S\bigl((d_{(2)}b)_{(3)}\bigr)\Bigr) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}\Bigl(\bigl(c\bigl(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(d_{(3)})\bigr)\bigr)_{(1)}\Bigr) \\
= \;& \Bigl(c_{(3)}\bigl(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a_{(3)}\bigr)\Bigr)\Bigl((d_{(2)}b)_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a'\Bigr)S^{-1}\Bigl(c_{(2)}a_{(2)}\Bigr) \\
& \#\Bigl((d_{(2)}b)_{(2)}b'S\bigl((d_{(2)}b)_{(3)}\bigr)\Bigr) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}\Bigl(c_{(1)}(a_{(1)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(d_{(3)}))\Bigr) \\
= \;& c_{(3)}\bigl(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a_{(3)}\bigr)\Bigl((d_{(2)(1)}b_{(1)}) \blacktriangleright a'\Bigr)S^{-1}(a_{(2)})S^{-1}(c_{(2)}) \\
& \#\Bigl(d_{(2)(2)}b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))S(d_{(2)(3)})\Bigr) \blacktriangleleft \Bigl(S^{-1}(a_{(1)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(d_{(3)}))S^{-1}(c_{(1)})\Bigr) \\
= \;& c_{(3)}\bigl(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a_{(3)}\bigr)\Bigl((d_{(2)(1)}b_{(1)}) \blacktriangleright a'\Bigr)S^{-1}(a_{(2)})S^{-1}(c_{(2)}) \\
& \#\Bigl(\bigl(d_{(2)(2)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)(3)})\bigr)\bigl((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)(2)})\bigr) \\
& \underline{\bigl(S(d_{(2)(3)}) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)(1)})\bigr)\langle a_{(1)(1)}, S^{-1}(d_{(3)})\rangle}\Bigr) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \\
= \;& c_{(3)}(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a_{(4)})(d_{(2)}b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a')S^{-1}(a_{(3)})S^{-1}(c_{(2)}) \\
& \#\Bigl((d_{(3)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(2)}))\bigl((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\bigr)S^{-1}(d_{(4)})\Bigr) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(c_{(1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we will show that the module action is unital. We denote the adjoint actions of A and B on themselves by $a \rightharpoonup a' = a_{(2)}a'S^{-1}(a_{(1)})$, $b \rightharpoondown b' = b_{(1)}b'S(b_{(2)})$, it is easy to show that these actions are unital. Define $F(a \otimes b) = a_{(2)} \otimes b \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)})$ and

$G(a\otimes b)=b_{(1)}\blacktriangleright a\otimes b_{(2)}$, F and G are bijective. So

$$(a\bowtie b)\cdot(a'\#b')=(\rightharpoonup\otimes\iota)F_{13}(\iota\otimes\iota\otimes\rightharpoondown)(\iota\otimes G\otimes\iota)(a\otimes a'\otimes b\otimes b'),$$

this can conclude that the action of $\mathscr{D}$ on $\mathscr{H}$ is unital. $\square$

Remark Since $\mathscr{H}$ is a unital $\mathscr{D}$-module, we can get an extension of the module structure to $M(\mathscr{D})$, this means we can define $f\cdot(a\#b)$, where $f\in M(\mathscr{D})$ and $a\#b\in\mathscr{H}$. Indeed, since $a\#b\in\mathscr{H}=\mathscr{D}\cdot\mathscr{H}$, then $a\#b=\sum_{i,j}(a'_j\bowtie b'_j)\cdot(a_i\#b_i)$, $f\cdot(a\#b)=\sum_{i,j}\big(f(a'_j\bowtie b'_j)\big)\cdot(a_i\#b_i)$.

Proposition 3.4 $\mathscr{H}$ is a $\mathscr{D}$-module algebra.

Proof It is sufficient to show that

$$(a\bowtie b)\cdot\Big((a'\#b')(c'\#d')\Big)=\Big((a_{(2)}\bowtie b_{(1)})\cdot(a'\#b')\Big)\Big((a_{(1)}\bowtie b_{(2)})\cdot(c'\#d')\Big).$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a\bowtie b)\cdot\Big((a'\#b')(c'\#d')\Big)\\
=\;&(a\bowtie b)\cdot\Big(a'(b'_{(1)}\blacktriangleright c')\#b'_{(2)}d'\Big)\\
=\;&a_{(3)}\Big(b_{(1)}\blacktriangleright(a'(b'_{(1)}\blacktriangleright c'))\Big)S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#\Big(b_{(2)}(b'_{(2)}d')S(b_{(3)})\Big)\blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\\
=\;&a_{(3)}(b_{(1)}\blacktriangleright a')\big((b_{(2)}b'_{(1)})\blacktriangleright c'\big)S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#\Big(b_{(3)}b'_{(2)}d'S(b_{(4)})\Big)\blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big((a_{(2)}\bowtie b_{(1)})\cdot(a'\#b')\Big)\Big((a_{(1)}\bowtie b_{(2)})\cdot(c'\#d')\Big)\\
=\;&\Big(a_{(6)}(b_{(1)}\blacktriangleright a')S^{-1}(a_{(5)})\#(b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))\blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(4)})\Big)\\
&\Big(a_{(3)}(b_{(4)}\blacktriangleright c')S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#(b_{(5)}d'S(b_{(6)}))\blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\Big)\\
=\;&\Big(a_{(4)}(b_{(1)}\blacktriangleright a')\big((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))_{(1)}\blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a_{(3)})\big)\#(b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))_{(2)}\Big)\\
&\Big(a_{(2)}(b_{(4)}\blacktriangleright c')\big((b_{(5)}d'S(b_{(6)}))_{(1)}\blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\big)\#(b_{(5)}d'S(b_{(6)}))_{(2)}\Big)\\
=\;&a_{(4)}(b_{(1)}\blacktriangleright a')\Big((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))_{(1)}\blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a_{(3)})\Big)\Big((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))_{(2)}\blacktriangleright\big(a_{(2)}(b_{(4)}\blacktriangleright c')\big)\Big)\\
&\Big((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))_{(3)}(b_{(5)}d'S(b_{(6)}))_{(1)}\blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\Big)\#(b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))_{(4)}(b_{(5)}d'S(b_{(6)}))_{(2)}\\
=\;&a_{(4)}(b_{(1)}\blacktriangleright a')\Big((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))_{(1)}\blacktriangleright\big(S^{-1}(a_{(3)})a_{(2)}(b_{(4)}\blacktriangleright c')\big)\Big)\\
&\Big((b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))_{(2)}(b_{(5)}d'S(b_{(6)}))_{(1)}\blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a_{(1)})\Big)\#(b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)}))_{(3)}(b_{(5)}d'S(b_{(6)}))_{(2)}\\
=\;&a_{(3)}(b_{(1)}\blacktriangleright a')\big((b_{(2)}b'_{(1)})\blacktriangleright c'\big)S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#\Big(b_{(3)}b'_{(2)}d'S(b_{(4)})\Big)\blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Now, we give $\mathscr{H}$ a coaction as follows: $\Gamma : \mathscr{H} \longrightarrow M_0(\mathscr{D} \otimes \mathscr{H})$,

$$((a' \bowtie b') \otimes 1)\Gamma(a\#b) = (a' \bowtie b')(a_{(2)} \bowtie b_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(1)}\#b_{(2)}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $M_0(\mathscr{D} \otimes \mathscr{H})$ is the complete module (see [4, 11]).

Obviously, this coaction is well-defined.

Proposition 3.5 Γ defined above makes $\mathscr{H}$ a left $\mathscr{D}$-comodule algebra.

Proof We firstly can check that Γ is well-defined by the formula (2.3), and satisfies $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Gamma = (\iota \otimes \Gamma)\Gamma$. Then, we will show that Γ defined above is injective. Indeed, if $\Gamma(a\#b) = 0$, applying $\varepsilon \otimes \iota$ on this equation, we can get that $a\#b = 0$, so it is injective.

Finally, we need to show Γ satisfy $\Gamma((a'\#b')(c'\#d')) = \Gamma(a'\#b')\Gamma(c'\#d')$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \big((a' \bowtie b') \otimes 1\big)\Gamma\big((a\#b)(c\#d)\big) \\
= \; & \big((a' \bowtie b') \otimes 1\big)\Gamma\big(a(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c)\#b_{(2)}d\big) \\
= \; & \big((a' \bowtie b') \otimes 1\big)\Big(a(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c)\Big)_{(2)} \bowtie (b_{(2)}d)_{(1)} \otimes \Big(a(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c)\Big)_{(1)}\#(b_{(2)}d)_{(2)} \\
= \; & (a' \bowtie b')\Big(a_{(2)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)}) \bowtie b_{(2)}d_{(1)}\Big) \otimes a_{(1)}c_{(1)}\#b_{(3)}d_{(2)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \big((a' \bowtie b') \otimes 1\big)\big(\Gamma(a\#b)\Gamma(c\#d)\big) \\
= \; & (a' \bowtie b')(a_{(2)} \bowtie b_{(1)})(c_{(2)} \bowtie d_{(1)}) \otimes (a_{(1)}\#b_{(2)})(c_{(1)}\#d_{(2)}) \\
= \; & (a' \bowtie b')\Big(a_{(2)}\big(b_{(1)(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(b_{(1)(3)})\big) \bowtie b_{(1)(2)}d_{(1)}\Big) \\
& \otimes a_{(1)}(b_{(2)(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(1)})\#b_{(2)(2)}d_{(2)} \\
= \; & (a' \bowtie b')\Big(a_{(2)}\big(b_{(1)(1)} \blacktriangleright (c_{(2)} \blacktriangleleft b_{(2)(1)}) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(b_{(1)(3)})\big) \bowtie b_{(1)(2)}d_{(1)}\Big) \\
& \otimes a_{(1)}c_{(1)}\#b_{(2)(2)}d_{(2)} \\
= \; & (a' \bowtie b')\Big(a_{(2)}\big(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)}\big) \bowtie b_{(2)}d_{(1)}\Big) \otimes a_{(1)}c_{(1)}\#b_{(3)}d_{(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

By a Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra, we mean a module and comodule algebra that is also a Yetter-Drinfel'd module (see Section 2.2 or paper [11]). Then we can get the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.6 For the Drinfel'd double $\mathscr{D}$ and Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H}$ based on a pairing of regular multiplier Hopf algebras A and B, $\mathscr{H}$ endowed with action (3.2) and coaction (3.3) is a (left-left) $\mathscr{D}$-Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra.

Proof From Proposition 3.4 and 3.5, we know that $\mathscr{H}$ is a $\mathscr{D}$-module and comodule algebra. the left thing we need to do is checking the compatible condition (2.4) of Yetter-Drinfel'd module ${}^{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathscr{D}}\mathcal{YD}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Big((a \bowtie b)_{(1)} \cdot (c\#d)\Big)_{(-1)}(a \bowtie b)_{(2)}(a' \bowtie b') \otimes \Big((a \bowtie b)_{(1)} \cdot (c\#d)\Big)_{(0)} \\ = \ & (a \bowtie b)_{(1)}(c\#d)_{(-1)}(a' \bowtie b') \otimes (a \bowtie b)_{(2)} \cdot (c\#d)_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a \bowtie b)_{(1)}(c\#d)_{(-1)}(a' \bowtie b') \otimes (a \bowtie b)_{(2)} \cdot (c\#d)_{(0)} \\ = \ & (a_{(2)} \bowtie b_{(1)})(c_{(2)} \bowtie d_{(1)})(a' \bowtie b') \otimes (a_{(1)} \bowtie b_{(2)}) \cdot (c_{(1)}\#d_{(2)}) \\ = \ & (a_{(4)} \bowtie b_{(1)})\Big(c_{(2)}(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a' \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(d_{(3)})) \bowtie d_{(2)}b'\Big) \\ & \otimes a_{(3)}(b_{(2)} \blacktriangleright c_{(1)})S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#\big(b_{(3)}d_{(4)}S(b_{(4)})\big) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}) \\ = \ & a_{(4)}\Big(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright \big(c_{(2)}(d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a' \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(d_{(3)}))\big) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(b_{(3)})\Big) \bowtie b_{(2)}d_{(2)}b' \\ & \otimes a_{(3)}(b_{(4)} \blacktriangleright c_{(1)})S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#\big(b_{(5)}d_{(4)}S(b_{(6)})\big) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}) \\ = \ & a_{(4)}\big(b_{(1)(1)} \blacktriangleright \underline{c_{(2)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(b_{(3)(2)})}\big)\big(b_{(1)(2)}d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a' \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(d_{(3)})S^{-1}(b_{(3)(1)})\big) \\ & \bowtie b_{(2)}d_{(2)}b' \otimes a_{(3)}(b_{(4)} \blacktriangleright \underline{c_{(1)}})S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#\big(b_{(5)}d_{(4)}S(b_{(6)})\big) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}) \\ = \ & a_{(4)}\big(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)}\big)\big(b_{(2)}d_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a' \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(b_{(4)}d_{(3)})\big) \bowtie b_{(3)}d_{(2)}b' \\ & \otimes a_{(3)}c_{(1)}S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#\big(b_{(5)}d_{(2)}S(b_{(6)})\big) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}) \\ = \ & \Big(a_{(4)}\big(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)}\big) \bowtie b_{(2)}d_{(1)}\Big)(a' \bowtie b') \\ & \otimes a_{(3)}c_{(1)}S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#\big(b_{(3)}d_{(2)}S(b_{(4)})\big) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Big((a \bowtie b)_{(1)} \cdot (c\#d)\Big)_{(-1)}(a \bowtie b)_{(2)}(a' \bowtie b') \otimes \Big((a \bowtie b)_{(1)} \cdot (c\#d)\Big)_{(0)} \\
= \; & \Big((a_{(2)} \bowtie b_{(1)}) \cdot (c\#d)\Big)_{(-1)}(a_{(1)} \bowtie b_{(2)})(a' \bowtie b') \otimes \Big((a_{(2)} \bowtie b_{(1)}) \cdot (c\#d)\Big)_{(0)} \\
= \; & \Big(a_{(4)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c)S^{-1}(a_{(3)})\#\big(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)})\big) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\Big)_{(-1)}(a_{(1)} \bowtie b_{(4)})(a' \bowtie b') \\
& \otimes\Big(a_{(4)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c)S^{-1}(a_{(3)})\#\big(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)})\big) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\Big)_{(0)} \\
= \; & \Big(a_{(6)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)})S^{-1}(a_{(3)}) \bowtie \big(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)})\big)_{(1)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\Big)(a_{(1)} \bowtie b_{(4)})(a' \bowtie b') \\
& \otimes\Big(a_{(5)}c_{(1)}S^{-1}(a_{(4)})\#\big(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)})\big)_{(2)}\Big) \\
= \; & \Big(a_{(5)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)})\big((b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(1)} \blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\big) \bowtie \big(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)})\big)_{(2)}\Big) \\
& (a_{(1)} \bowtie b_{(4)})(a' \bowtie b') \otimes \Big(a_{(4)}c_{(1)}S^{-1}(a_{(3)})\#\big(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)})\big)_{(3)}\Big) \\
= \; & \Big(a_{(5)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)})\big((b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(1)} \blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\big) \\
& \big(\underline{(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(2)} \blacktriangleright a_{(1)}} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}((b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(4)})\big) \bowtie \underline{(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(3)}}b_{(4)}\Big)(a' \bowtie b') \\
& \otimes\Big(a_{(4)}c_{(1)}S^{-1}(a_{(3)})\#\big(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)})\big)_{(5)}\Big) \\
= \; & \Big(a_{(6)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)})\big(\underline{(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(1)} \blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a_{(3)})}\big) \\
& \big(a_{(1)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}((b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(3)})\big) \bowtie \big(\underline{(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(2)}} \blacktriangleleft a_{(2)}\big)b_{(4)}\Big)(a' \bowtie b') \\
& \otimes\Big(a_{(5)}c_{(1)}S^{-1}(a_{(4)})\#\big(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)})\big)_{(5)}\Big) \\
= \; & \Big(a_{(5)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)})S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\big(a_{(1)} \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}((b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(2)})\big) \\
& \bowtie (b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)}))_{(1)}b_{(4)}\Big)(a' \bowtie b') \otimes \Big(a_{(4)}c_{(1)}S^{-1}(a_{(3)})\#\big(b_{(2)}dS(b_{(3)})\big)_{(3)}\Big) \\
= \; & \Big(a_{(4)}\big(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright c_{(2)}\big) \bowtie b_{(2)}d_{(1)}\Big)(a' \bowtie b') \\
& \otimes a_{(3)}c_{(1)}S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#\big(b_{(3)}d_{(2)}S(b_{(4)})\big) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}).
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof. □

Example 3.7 Take the notations as Example 3.2, the Drinfel'd double $\mathscr{D} = K(G) \bowtie K[G]$ with the structures as follows. The product in $\mathscr{D}$ is given by

$$(\delta_g \bowtie h)(\delta_p \bowtie q) = \delta_g\delta_{hph^{-1}} \bowtie hq$$

for all $\delta_g, \delta_p \in K(G), h, q \in K[G]$, and the multiplier Hopf structure is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(\delta_g \otimes h) = \sum_{p \in G}(\delta_{p^{-1}g} \otimes h) \otimes (\delta_p \otimes h), \\
& \varepsilon(\delta_g \otimes h) = \delta_{g,e}, \\
& S(\delta_g \otimes h) = \delta_{h^{-1}g^{-1}h} \otimes h^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the action $(\delta_p \bowtie q) \cdot (\delta_{p'} \# q') = \delta_{p'q'q^{-1}p} \delta_{p'q^{-1}} \# qq'q^{-1}$ and the coaction $\Gamma(\delta_p \# q) = \sum_{s \in G} \delta_{s^{-1}p} \bowtie q \otimes \delta_s \# q$ make $K(G) \# K[G]$ a $K(G) \bowtie K[G]$-Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra.

4 $\mathscr{H}$ as a braided $\mathscr{D}$-commutative algebra

First we introduce the notion of braided commutative comodule algebras for regular multiplier Hopf algebras, which generalize the one in Hopf case.

Definition 4.1 Let A be a regular multiplier Hopf algebra, a left A-module and left A-comodule algebra X is said to be *braided commutative*, if for any $x, y \in X$

$$yx = (y_{(-1)} \cdot x) y_{(0)}. \tag{4.1}$$

For any two (left-left) Yetter-Drinfel'd A-module algebras X and Y, their *braided product* $X \propto Y$ is defined as follows

$$(x \propto y)(x' \propto y') = x(y_{(-1)} \cdot x') \propto y_{(0)} y'. \tag{4.2}$$

for $x, x' \in X$ and $y, y' \in Y$.

Proposition 4.2 $X \propto Y$ is a Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra.
Proof It is similar to the Hopf algebra case. □

Now, we can get another main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.3 $\mathscr{H}$ is a braided $\mathscr{D}$-commutative algebra. And $\mathscr{H}$ is the braided product $\mathscr{H} = A \propto B$, where A and B are braided commutative Yetter-Drinfel'd $\mathscr{D}$-module algebras by restriction, i.e., the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(a \bowtie b) \cdot a' &= a_{(2)} (b \blacktriangleright a') S^{-1}(a_{(1)}), \\ (a \bowtie b) \cdot b' &= (b_{(1)} b' S(b_{(2)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a),\end{aligned}$$

and coaction ρ: $A \to M_0(\mathscr{D} \otimes A)$ and $B \to M_0(\mathscr{D} \otimes B)$ is given by $\rho(a') = \Delta_{13}^{cop}(a')$, $\rho(b') = \Delta_{23}(b')$, for $a \bowtie b \in \mathscr{D}$, $a' \in A$ and $b' \in B$.

Proof We need to show that $(a'\#b')(a\#b) = \big((a'\#b')_{(-1)} \cdot (a\#b)\big)(a'\#b')_{(0)}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \big((a'\#b')_{(-1)} \cdot (a\#b)\big)(a'\#b')_{(0)} \\
= \;& \big((a'_{(2)} \bowtie b'_{(1)}) \cdot (a\#b)\big)(a'_{(1)}\#b'_{(2)}) \\
= \;& \Big(a'_{(4)}(b'_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a)S^{-1}(a'_{(3)})\#(b'_{(2)}bS(b'_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a'_{(2)})\Big)(a'_{(1)}\#b'_{(4)}) \\
= \;& \Big(a'_{(3)}(b'_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a)\big((b'_{(2)}bS(b'_{(3)}))_{(1)} \blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a'_{(2)})\big)\#(b'_{(2)}bS(b'_{(3)}))_{(2)}\Big)(a'_{(1)}\#b'_{(4)}) \\
= \;& a'_{(3)}(b'_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a)\big((b'_{(2)}bS(b'_{(3)}))_{(1)} \blacktriangleright S^{-1}(a'_{(2)})\big)\Big((b'_{(2)}bS(b'_{(3)}))_{(2)} \blacktriangleright a'_{(1)}\Big) \\
& \#(b'_{(2)}bS(b'_{(3)}))_{(3)}b'_{(4)} \\
= \;& a'_{(3)}(b'_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a)\Big((b'_{(2)}bS(b'_{(3)}))_{(1)} \blacktriangleright (S^{-1}(a'_{(2)})a'_{(1)})\Big)\#(b'_{(2)}bS(b'_{(3)}))_{(3)}b'_{(2)} \\
= \;& a'(b'_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a)\#b'_{(2)}b \\
= \;& (a'\#b')(a\#b).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathscr{H}$ is a braided $\mathscr{D}$-commutative algebra.

The second part is obvious, since $\mathscr{H}$ is a unital $\mathscr{D}$-module, we can get an extension of the module structure to $M(\mathscr{D})$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \propto b)(a' \propto b') &= a(b_{(-1)} \cdot a') \propto b_{(0)}b' \\
&= a((1 \bowtie b_{(1)}) \cdot a') \propto b_{(2)}b' \\
&= a(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a') \propto b_{(2)}b'.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Example 4.4 Take the notations as Example 3.2 and 3.7, $K(G)\#K[G]$ is a braided $K(G) \bowtie K[G]$-commutative algebra. And $K(G)\#K[G]$ is the braided product $\mathscr{H} = K(G) \propto K[G]$, where $K(G)$ and $K[G]$ are braided commutative Yetter-Drinfel'd $K(G) \bowtie K[G]$-module algebras by restriction, i.e., the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\delta_p \bowtie q) \cdot \delta_{p'} = \delta_{qp'^{-1}p}\delta_{p'q^{-1}}, \\
&(\delta_p \bowtie q) \cdot q' = \delta_{p^{-1},qq'q^{-1}}qq'q^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and coaction ρ: $A \to M_0(\mathscr{D} \otimes A)$ and $B \to M_0(\mathscr{D} \otimes B)$ is given by $\rho(\delta_p) = \sum_{t\in G} \delta_{t^{-1}p} \bowtie e \otimes \delta_t$, $\rho(q) = \sum_{t\in G} \delta_t \bowtie q \otimes q$, for$p, p', q, q' \in G$ and $\delta_p, \delta_{p'} \in K(G)$.

5 Applications to Hopf Algebras

In this section, we apply our results as above to the usual Hopf algebras and derive some interesting results.

By Corollary 3.6 in [10], let $\Phi_\alpha = \iota = \Phi_\beta$, we can get the following result.

Proposition 5.1 Let B be a co-Frobenius Hopf algebra with a left integral φ, and with dual multiplier Hopf algebra A with a left integral $\widehat{\varphi}$. Then Drinfel'd double $\mathscr{D} = A \bowtie B$ with structures given by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a \bowtie b)(a' \bowtie b') = a(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a' \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(b_{(3)})) \bowtie b_{(2)}b',\\
&\Delta(a \bowtie b) = \Delta^{cop}(a)(b_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)}),\\
&\varepsilon(a \bowtie b) = \varepsilon_A(a)\varepsilon_B(b),\\
&S(a \bowtie b) = T(S(b) \otimes S^{-1}(a))
\end{aligned}$$

for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$, where T is given by (2.1).

Let the Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H} = A\#B$ with the multiplication as (3.1) and endow $\mathscr{H}$ with the $\mathscr{D}$-module and comodule structures as (3.2) and (3.3). Then by Theorem 3.6 and 4.3, we get

Theorem 5.2 Let B be a co-Frobenius Hopf algebra with a left integral φ, and with dual multiplier Hopf algebra A with a left integral $\widehat{\varphi}$. Then $\mathscr{H} = A\#B$ is a (left-left) $\mathscr{D} = A \bowtie B$-Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra, and moreover $\mathscr{H}$ is a braided $\mathscr{D}$-commutative algebra.

Example 5.3 Let C be an infinite cyclic group with generator c and let m be a positive integer. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of natural integers and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that λ^i is a primitive mth root of 1. Then we recall from [10] that the Hopf algebra B is the algebra with generators c and X satisfying relations: $cX = \lambda Xc$ and $X^m = 0$. The Hopf algebra structure on B is given by $\Delta(c) = c \otimes c$, $\Delta(X) = c^i \otimes X + X \otimes 1$, $\varepsilon(c) = 1, \varepsilon(X) = 0$, $S(c) = c^{-1}$ and $S(X) = -c^{-i}X$. In [[3], 2.2.1], the authors construct the multiplier Hopf algebra $A = \widehat{B}$ with the linear basis $\{\omega_{p,0}Y^l \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{N}, l < m\}$. The multiplication and the comultiplication are defined so that $\langle A, B\rangle$ is a multiplier Hopf algebra pairing. For the details, the product in A is given by the formula $\omega_{p,q}\omega_{k,l} = \delta_{p-k,il}\binom{l+q}{q}_{\lambda^{-i}}\omega_{k,l+q}$ and the multiplier Hopf structure of B is given by $\Delta(\omega_{p,0}) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_{k,0} \otimes \omega_{p-k,0}, \Delta(Y) = D \otimes Y + Y \otimes 1, \varepsilon(\omega_{p,0}) = \delta_{p,0}, \varepsilon(Y) = 0, S(\omega_{p,0}) = \omega_{-p,0}$ and $S(Y) = -D^{-1}Y$, where $D = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda^j \omega_{j,0}$ and $Y = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda^s \omega_{s,1}$. Notice that $DY = \lambda YD$, $Y^m = 0$ and $D\omega_{k,0} = \lambda^k \omega_{k,0} = \omega_{k,0}D$.

Define Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H} = A\#B$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varepsilon\#c^i)(\omega_{p,0}\#1) = \omega_{p-i,0}\#c^i, \quad (\varepsilon\#c^i)(Y\#1) = Y\#c^i,\\
&(\varepsilon\#X)(\omega_{p,0}\#1) = \omega_{p-i,0}\#X, \quad (\varepsilon\#X)(Y\#1) = Y\#X + D\#1,
\end{aligned}$$

and Drinfel'd double $\mathscr{D} = A \bowtie B$ as Example 2.8 in [10](only need to let $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta = \iota$), then we can get $\mathscr{H}$ is a $\mathscr{D}$-Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra, and it is braided commutative.

Let A and B be Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes S_A and S_B, respectively. Let $(A, B, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a Hopf dual pairing, then we can get Drinfel'd double $\mathscr{D} = A \bowtie B$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a \bowtie b)(a' \bowtie b') = \langle a'_{(1)}, S_B^{-1}(b_{(3)})\rangle(aa'_{(2)} \otimes b_{(2)}b')\langle a'_{(3)}, b_{(1)}\rangle,\\
&\Delta(a \bowtie b) = (a_{(2)} \bowtie b_{(1)}) \otimes (a_{(1)} \bowtie b_{(2)}),\\
&\varepsilon(a \otimes b) = \varepsilon_A(a)\varepsilon_B(b), \quad \text{and}\\
&S(a \otimes b) = \langle a_{(1)}, b_{(3)}\rangle(S_A^{-1}(a_{(2)}) \otimes S_B(b_{(2)}))\langle S_A^{-1}(a_{(3)}), b_{(1)}\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and Heisenberg double $\mathscr{H} = A\#B$

$$(a\#b)(a'\#b') = \langle a'_{(2)}, b_{(1)}\rangle aa'_{(1)}\#b_{(2)}b'.$$

for any $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

Define $\mathscr{D}$-module action on $\mathscr{H}$

$$(a \bowtie b) \cdot (a'\#b') = a_{(3)}(b_{(1)} \blacktriangleright a')S^{-1}(a_{(2)})\#(b_{(2)}b'S(b_{(3)})) \blacktriangleleft S^{-1}(a_{(1)}).$$

and comodule action $\rho : \mathscr{H} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}\otimes\mathscr{H}$, $\rho(a\#b) = a_{(2)} \bowtie b_{(1)}\otimes a_{(1)}\#b_{(2)}$. Then we can get

Theorem 5.4 $\mathscr{H} = A\#B$ is a (left-left) $\mathscr{D} = A \bowtie B$-Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra, and $\mathscr{H}$ is a braided $\mathscr{D}$-commutative algebra.

Let B be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, then the antipode is bijective, and we van construct its duality B^*, satisfying the condition of a pairing. So we can get a corollary, which is the main results in [6].

Corollary 5.5 $\mathscr{H}(B^*) = B^*\#B$ is a (left-left) $\mathscr{D}(B) = B^* \bowtie B$-Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra, and $\mathscr{H}$ is a braided $\mathscr{D}$-commutative algebra in terms of the braiding of Yetter-Drinfel'd module.

Acknowledgements

The work was partially supported by the NNSF of China (No. 10871042), the NSF of Jiangsu Province (No. BK2009258) and NSF for Colleges and Universities in Jiangsu Province (No. 11KJB110004).

References

[1] Delvaux, L. (2003). Twisted tensor product of multiplier Hopf (∗-)algebras. *Journal of Algebra* 269: 285-316.

[2] Delvaux, L. (2008). Yetter-Drinfel'd modules for group-cograded multiplier Hopf algebras. *Communications in Algebra* 36(8): 2872-2882.

[3] Delvaux L., Van Daele A. (2004). The Drinfel'd double versus the Heisenberg double for an algebraic quantum group. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algbera* 190: 59-84.

[4] Delvaux, L., Van Daele, A., Wang, S. H. (2011). Bicrossproducts of multiplier Hopf algebras. *Journal of Algebra* 343: 11-36.

[5] Drabant, B., Van Daele, A. (2001). Pairing and quantum double of multiplier Hopf algebras. *Algebras and Representation Theory* 4: 109-132.

[6] Semikhatov, A. M. (2011). Heisenberg Double $H(B^*)$ as a Braided Commutative Yetter-Drinfeld Module Algebra Over the Drinfeld Double. *Communications in Algebra* 39: 1883-1906.

[7] Van Daele, A. (1994). Multiplier Hopf algebras. *Transaction of the American Mathematical Society* 342(2): 917-932.

[8] Van Daele, A. (1998). An algebraic framework for group duality. *Advance in Mathematics* 140(2): 323-366.

[9] Van Daele, A. (2008). Tools for working with multiplier Hopf algebras. *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering* 33(2C): 505-527.

[10] Yang, T., Wang, S. H. (2011). A Lot of Quasitriangular Group-cograded Multiplier Hopf Algebras. *Algebras and Representation Theory* 14(5): 959-976.

[11] Yang, T., Wang, S. H. (2011). Constructing new braided T-categories over regular multiplier Hopf algebras. *Communications in Algebra*, 39(9): 3073-3089.